arXiv:1403.4993v1 [math.CV] 19 Mar 2014

Cycle Connectivity and Automorphism Groups of Flag Domains

Alan Huckleberry

Dedicated to Arcady Onishchik on the occasion of his 80th birthday.

Abstract
A flag domain D is an open orbit of a real form G_0 in a flag manifold $Z = G/P$ of its complexification. If D is holomorphically convex, then, since it is a product of a Hermitian symmetric space of bounded type and a compact flag manifold, $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ is easily described. If D is not holomorphically convex, then in previous work it was shown that $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ is a Lie group whose connected component at the identity agrees with G_0 except possibly in situations which arise in Onishchik's list of flag manifolds where $\mathrm{Aut}(Z)^0 = \widehat{G}$ is larger than G. In the present work the group $\mathrm{Aut}(D)^0 = \widehat{G}_0$ is described as a real form of $\widehat{G}$. Using an observation of Kollar, new and much simpler proofs of much of our previous work in the case where D is not holomorphically convex are given.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 14M15, 32M05, 57S20

Key words: Flag domains, Automorphism groups, Finiteness Theorem

Alan Huckleberry
Institut für Mathematik Ruhr Universität
Bochum Universitätsstrasse 150
44780 Bochum, Germany
and
Jacobs University Bremen, School of Engineering and Science
Campus Ring 1, 28759, Bremen, Germany
e-mail: ahuck@gmx.de

1 Introduction and statement of results

Recall that if Z is a compact complex manifold, then its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathcal{O}}(Z)$ of holomorphic vector fields is finite-dimensional and that the fields in $\mathfrak{g}$ can be integrated to define a holomorphic action of the associated simply-connected complex Lie group G. If Z is homogeneous in the sense that this group acts transitively, then we choose a base point $z_0 \in Z$, let $H = G_{z_0}$ denote the isotropy group at that point and identify Z with the quotient G/H. If G is projective algebraic with trivial Albanese, i.e., with $b_1(Z) = 0$, then G is semisimple, the isotropy group H is a so-called parabolic subgroup, which from now on we denote by P, and $Z = G/P$ is a G-orbit in the projective space $\mathbb{P}(V)$ of an appropriate G-representation space V. In this case we refer to Z as a flag manifold.

A real form G_0 of G is a real Lie subgroup of G such that the complexification $\mathfrak{g}_0 + i\mathfrak{g}_0$ is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. If $Z = G/P$ is a flag manifold, then any real form G_0 of G has only finitely many orbits in Z ([W], see also [FHW] for this as well as other background.). In particular, G_0 always has at least one open orbit D. We refer to such an open orbit as a flag domain. If G_0 is not simple, then, D has product structure corresponding to the factors of G_0. Thus, for our considerations here there is no loss of generality in assuming that G_0 is simple which we do throughout. Note that if G_0 has the abstract structure of a complex Lie group, then its complexification G is, however, not simple. Note also that G_0 could act transitively on Z, e.g., this is always the case for a compact real form. However, from the point of view of this article, in that case all phenomena are well understood and therefore we assume that D is a proper subset of Z.

Since by assumption a flag domain D is noncompact, there is no a priori reason to expect that $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ or $\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathcal{O}}(D)$ is finite-dimensional. In fact if D possesses non-constant holomorphic functions, the latter is not the case and the former is often not the case as well. Let us begin here by reviewing this situation.

If X is any complex manifold, then the equivalence relation,

$$x \sim y \;\Leftrightarrow\; f(x) = f(y) \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{O}(X)\,,$$

is equivariant with respect to the full group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ of holomorphic automorphisms. If $X = G/H$ is homogeneous with respect to a Lie group of holomorphic transformations, then the reduction $X \to X/\sim$ by this equivalence relation is a G-equivariant holomorphic homogeneous fibration $G/H \to G/I$. If $D = G_0/H_0$ is a flag domain, then this reduction has a particularly simple form ([W], [FHW],§4.4). For this let $D = G_0.z_0$ with H_0 (resp P) be the G_0-isotropy subgroup (resp. G-isotropy subgroup) at z_0.

Theorem 1.1 *If $D = G_0.z_0$ is a flag domain with $\mathcal{O}(D) \neq \mathbb{C}$, then the holomorphic reduction $D = G_0/H_0 \to G_0/I_0 = \widetilde{D}$ is the restriction of a fibration $Z = G/P \to G/\widetilde{P} = \widetilde{Z}$ of the ambient flag manifold with the properties*

1. *The fiber of $Z \to \widetilde{Z}$, which is itself is a flag manifold, agrees with the fiber of $D \to \widetilde{D}$.*
2. *The base $\widetilde{D}$ is a G_0-flag domain in $\widetilde{Z}$. It is a Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type embedded in a canonical way in its compact dual $\widetilde{Z}$.*

Recall that a symmetric space of noncompact type of a simple Lie group is a topological cell and that in the Hermitian case it is a Stein manifold. Thus Grauert's Oka-principle implies that the fibration $D \to \widetilde{D}$ is a (holomorphically) trivial bundle. As a consequence we have the following more refined version of the above result.

Corollary 1.2 *A flag domain D with $\mathcal{O}(D) \neq \mathbb{C}$ is the product $\widetilde{D} \times F$ of a Hermitian symmetric space $\widetilde{D}$ of noncompact type and a compact flag manifold F. In particular, D is holomorphically convex and $D \to \widetilde{D}$ is its Remmert reduction.*

As indicated above our goal here is to describe the connected component at the identity $\mathrm{Aut}(D)^0$ of the group of holomorphic automorphisms of any given flag domain D. With certain exceptions which we cover in detail below, we carried out this project in [H1] by studying the associated action of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ on a certain space (described below) $\mathcal{C}_q(D)$ of holomorphic cycles. If $D = \widetilde{D}$ is a Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type, such cycles are just isolated points and $\mathcal{C}_q(D) = D$. Thus the cycle space gives us no additional information. However, in this case D possesses the invariant Bergman metric and as a result $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ is well-understood.

If $D = \widetilde{D} \times F$ is a product with nontrivial base and fiber, then, although it is infinite-dimensional, $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ is in a certain sense easy to describe: The fibration $D \to \widetilde{D}$ induces a surjective homomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}(D) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{D})$. The kernel is the space $\mathrm{Hol}(\widetilde{D}, \mathrm{Aut}(F))$ of holomorphic maps from the base to the complex Lie group $\mathrm{Aut}(F)$ and as a result $\mathrm{Aut}(D) = \mathrm{Hol}(\widetilde{D}, \mathrm{Aut}(F)) \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ has semidirect product structure.

Having settled the case where $\mathcal{O}(D) \neq \mathbb{C}$, or equivalently where D is holomorphically convex, we turn to the situation where $\mathcal{O}(D) = \mathbb{C}$. In [H1] we showed that $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ is a (finite-dimensional) Lie group which, with certain exceptions which are handled below, $\mathrm{Aut}(D)^0 = G_0$. Other than taking care of these exceptional cases, where in fact $\mathrm{Aut}(D)^0$ contains G_0 as a proper Lie subgroup, here we also make use of an observation of Kollar ([K]) which leads to a simple proof of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)^0 = G_0$ with the possible exceptions. This proof is given in §2.

Before going into the details of proofs, let us state the main result of the paper. For this the following classification theorem of A. Onishchik ([O1]) is the key first step for handling the exceptional cases mentioned above.

Theorem 1.3 *The following is a list of the flag manifolds Z and (connected) complex simple Lie groups G and $\widehat{G}$ so that $Z = G/P$ and $\widehat{G} := \mathrm{Aut}(Z)^0$ properly contains G.*

1. *The manifold Z is the odd-dimesional projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ where, after lifting to simply-connected coverings, $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$*
2. *The 5-dimensional complex quadric Z is equipped with the standard action of $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{SO}_7(\mathbb{C})$ and G is the exceptional complex Lie group G_2 embedded in $\widehat{G}$ as the automorphism group of the octonians.*
3. *Equipping $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ with a non-degenerate complex bilinear form b, Z is the space of n-dimensional b-isotropic subspaces, $\widehat{G}$ is the b-orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ and G is the complex orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}_{2n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ which is embedded in $\hat{G}$ as the connected component at the identity of the isotropy group of the $\hat{G}$-action at some nonzero point in $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$.*

Referring to the above list of exceptions as Onishchik's list, our main result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.4 *If D is a G_0-flag domain in $Z = G/Q$, then $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ can be described as follows:*

1. *If $\mathcal{O}(D) \neq \mathbb{C}$, or equivalently if it is holomorphically convex, D is a product $\widetilde{D} \times F$ of a Hermitian symmetric space $\widetilde{D}$ of non-compact type and a compact flag manifold F, and $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ is correspondingly a semidirect product $\mathrm{Aut}(D) = \mathrm{Hol}(D, \mathrm{Aut}(F)) \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{D})$.*
2. *If $\mathcal{O}(D) = \mathbb{C}$, then $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ is a finite-dimensional Lie group of holomorphic transformations on D and, if the complexification G is the full group $\mathrm{Aut}(Z)^0$, then $\mathrm{Aut}(D)^0 = G_0$.*
3. *If $\mathcal{O}(D) = \mathbb{C}$ and G is a proper subgroup of $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{Aut}(Z)^0$, then in each case of Onishchik's list $\mathrm{Aut}(D)^0 = \widehat{G}_0$ is a uniquely determined real form of $\widehat{G}$ which contains G_0 as a proper subgroup.*

It should be remarked that the simple proof given here of the fact that if $\mathcal{O}(D) = \mathbb{C}$, then $\mathrm{Aut}(D)^0$ is a Lie group acting on Z does not yield a proof that in this case full group $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ is a Lie group. At the present time we have no other proof of this fact other than that in [H1].

2 Cycle connectivity

In [H2] we used chains of cycles to study the pseudoconvexity and pseudoconcavity of flag domains . We continued the use of these chains in our

study of Aut(D) in [H1]. Here, in particular compared to the chains in [K], it is sufficient to consider chains of a very special type which we now introduce.

A basic fact, which is the tip of the iceberg of Matsuki duality, is that for a flag domain D any given maximal compact subgroup K_0 of G_0 has exactly one orbit $C_0 = K_0.z_0$ in D which is a complex submanifold. In fact it is the (unique) orbit of minimal dimension. If K is the complexification of K_0, then since C_0 is complex, K stabilizes it. Denoting $q := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} C_0$, we usually regard C_0 as a point in the Barlet cycle space $\mathcal{C}_q(D)$, but for our purposes here we may regard it as a point in the full Chow space $\mathcal{C}_q(Z)$ where G is acting algebraically. The group theoretical cycle space of D is then defined as connected open subset

$$\mathcal{M}(D) = \{g(C_0) : g \in G,\ g(C_0) \subset D\}^0$$

of the orbit of the base cycle C_0. One can show that $\mathcal{M}(D)$ is a closed submanifold of $\mathcal{C}_q(D)$ (See [FHW] for background and a systematic study of these cycle spaces.). For the purposes of this paper a chain of cycles is a finite connected union of (supports of) cycles in $\mathcal{M}(D)$. We often write such a chain as $(C_1, \ldots, C_m)$ to indicate that $C_i \cap C_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ Using such chains we have the *cycle connection* equivalence relation

$$x \sim y \ \Leftrightarrow\ x \text{ and } y \text{ are contained in a chain}.$$

Note that this relation is G_0-equivariant. In particular, if $D = G_0/H_0$ then there is a (possibly not closed) subgroup I_0 of G_0 which contains H_0 so that the quotient of D by this equivalence relation is given by $G_0/H_0 \to G_0/I_0$. Now if $z_0 \in D$ is the base point where $H_0 := G_{z_0}$ and $K_0.z_0 = K.z_0 = C_0$ is the base cycle, then, since C_0 is by definition contained in the equivalence class of z_0, it is immediate that $I_0 \supset K_0$. Since K_0 is a maximal subgroup of G_0, i.e., any (not necessarily closed) subgroup of G_0 which contains K_0 is either K_0 or G_0, the following is immediate (see also [H1] and [H2] for the same proof).

Proposition 2.1 *The following are equivalent:*

1. $\mathcal{O}(D) = \mathbb{C}$
2. *D is not holomorphically convex.*
3. *There is no nontrivial G_0-equivariant holomorphic map of D to a Hermitian symmetric space $\widetilde{D}$ of noncompact type.*
4. *D is cycle connected.*

Proof. The equivalence of the first three conditions follows from the discussion in §1. If D is cycle connected, then, since $\widetilde{D}$ is Stein and therefore every holomorphic map to $\widetilde{D}$ is constant along every chain, 4.) $\Rightarrow$ 3.). Conversely, if D is not cycle connected, then the equivalence class containing the base point z_0 is just the cycle C_0 which is therefore stabilized by the G-isotropy P as well as K. Since the cycle connection reduction is given by $G_0/H_0 \to G_0/K_0$,

it follows that this fibration is the restriction of the fibration $G/P \to G/\widetilde{P}$ of Z where $\widetilde{P} = KP$ and therefore the base G_0/K_0 is the Hermitian symmetric space $\widetilde{D}$. In other words, the cycle connected reduction is just the holomorphic reduction and in particular $\mathcal{O}(D) \neq \mathbb{C}$.

Remark. For applications in another context, Griffiths, Robles and Toledo recently gave another proof a result which is essentially equivalent to Proposition 2.1. (see [GRT]).

Although it is well-known that K_0 is a maximal subgroup of G_0, for the convenience of the reader we would like to give the following nice proof of J. Brun which was pointed out to us by Keivan Mallahi Karai (see the Appendix of [B]).

Theorem 2.2 *If G is a connected simple Lie group, K is a maximal compact subgroup and L is an abstract group which contains K, then L is either G or K.*

Proof. Standard results in the theory of symmetric spaces show that K is connected and the adjoint representation of K on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}$ is irreducible. Thus if ℓ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ which properly contains $\mathfrak{k}$, then $\ell = \mathfrak{g}$. Thus, if L is closed, then the result is immediate. Furthermore, if L is not closed and properly contains K, then its closure $c\ell(L)$ is the full group G. In that case we let $\mathfrak{k}'$ be the vector subspace of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G which is generated by $\mathrm{Ad}(x)(\mathfrak{k})$ for all $x \in L$. Since $c\ell(L) = G$, it follows by continuity that $\mathfrak{k}'$ is G-invariant and since G is simple, it is immediate that $\mathfrak{k}' = \mathfrak{g}$. Therefore there are finitely many elements $x_i \in L$ so that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \sum_1^m \mathrm{Ad}(x_i)(\mathfrak{k})$$

and as a result the map

$$K^m \to G,\ K(k_1, \ldots, k_m) \mapsto \prod (x_i k_i x_i^{-1})$$

has maximal rank at the origin. Thus L contains a compact neighborhood of the origin and is therefore compact, i.e., contrary to assumption $L = K$.

3 Finiteness Theorem

Our original goal in this setting was to show that a flag domain D is either pseudoconvex or psedoconcave ([H2]). More precisely, we had hoped to show that if D is not holomorphically convex, then C_0 has a pseudoconcave neighborhood which is filled out by cycles. If this would be possible, then using Andreotti's finiteness theorem ([A]) we would be able to conclude that

the space of sections of any holomorphic vector bundle, in particular the space $\mathrm{Vect}_O(D)$, is finite-dimensional. Although we have been successful in constructing such a neighborhood in a number of cases ([H2]), we have failed do this in general. Recently, in a substantially more general setting, Kollar proved the desired finiteness theorem along with a number of equivalent properties which would follow from the pseudoconcavity of D ([K]). Here we make use of Kollar's result, leaving the question of existence of the pseudoconcave neighborhood open.

Formulated in our setting, Kollar's finiteness result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 3.1 *The space $\Gamma(D, E)$ of sections of any holomorphic vector bundle on a cycle connected flag domain is finite-dimensional.*

This is an immediate consequence of the same result for line bundles which in turn is proved using the following Lemma (Lemma 15 in [K]), again formulated in our restricted context.

Lemma 3.2 *Let L be a holomorphic line bundle on D. Then, given $d \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $d_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $C \in \mathcal{M}_D$ and any $z_0 \in C$ every section $s \in \Gamma(D, L)$ which vanishes of order d_0 at z_0 vanishes of order d along C.*

The proof is given by classical methods which are reminiscent of Siegel's Schwarz Lemma. One key point is that C can be filled out by rational curves which in our case are closures of orbits of 1-parameter groups.

Now, given a chain of cycles $(C_1, \ldots, C_m)$ with $z_i \in C_i \cap C_{i+1}$, and given $d_m \in \mathbb{N}$ we apply the Lemma to obtain $d_{m-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that if s vanishes of order d_{m_1} at z_{m-1}, then it vanishes of order d_m along C_m. Working backwards to the first cycle in the chain, we see that the Lemma holds for chains.

Corollary 3.3 *Given $d \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $d_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for any chain $(C_1, \ldots, C_m)$ of length m and any $z_1 \in C_1$ if s vanishes of order d_1 at z_1, then it vanishes of order d along C_m.*

It should be emphasized that for a fixed d the required vanishing order d_1 depends on m. Thus to apply this result we need some sort of uniform estimate for the length of a chain connecting two given points. This can be given as follows.

For example, let C_1 be a base cycle for a given maximal compact subgroup K_0. Recall that the complexification K has only finitely many orbits in Z and therefore has a (unique) open dense orbit Ω. Take $z_1 \in C_1$ and any point $z \in \Omega$ and let $(C_1, \ldots, C_m)$ be a chain connecting z_0 to z. For $k \in K$ sufficiently close to the identity, the chain $(k(C_1), \ldots, k(C_m))$ is still contained in D. Thus, since $k(C_1) = C_1$ and $k(z)$ can be an arbitrary point in a sufficiently small neighborhood U of z, we have the desired vanishing theorem.

Corollary 3.4 *If $s \in \Gamma(D, L)$ vanishes of sufficiently high order at a given point $z_1 \in C_1$, then it vanishes identically. In particular, $\Gamma(D, L)$ is finite-dimensional.*

Proof. Since the required vanishing order d_1 only depends on the number d_m and the length m, Corollary 3.3 implies that if s vanishes of order d_1 at z_1, then it vanishes at every point of the set U which was constructed above. The desired result then follows from the identity principle.

As we remarked above, the finiteness theorem for vector bundles is an immediate consequence of this Corollary (see [K], p. 8).

4 Integrability of vector fields

The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $Z = G/Q$ be a complex flag manifold and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a finite-dimensional complex Lie algebra which contains $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}(G)$. Let $\widehat{G}$ be a complex Lie group which contains G and is associated to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. If $\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a complex subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ so that the quotient map $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}/\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}/\widehat{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{q}\,,$$

then $\widehat{G}$ acts holomorphically on Z with

$$Z = \widehat{G}/\widehat{Q} = G/Q\,.$$

Proof. We apply a basic idea of Tits. For this regard $x_0 := \widehat{\mathfrak{q}}$ as a point in the Grassmannian $X := \mathrm{Gr}_k(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ of subspaces of dimension $k = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}$ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. The isotropy group at x_0 of the $\widehat{G}$-action on X is the normalizer

$$\widehat{N} = \{\widehat{g} \in \hat{G} : \mathrm{Ad}(\hat{g})(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}) = \widehat{\mathfrak{q}}\}\,.$$

Denote by $N = \widehat{N} \cap G$ the G-isotropy at x_0 and note that if $g \in N$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{q}$, it follows that $\mathrm{Ad}(g)(\xi) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{q}} \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q}$. In other words N is contained in the normalizer of $\mathfrak{q}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. Since the parabolic group Q is self-normalizing in G, it follows that $N \subset Q$. But $\widehat{\mathfrak{n}} \supset \widehat{\mathfrak{q}}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{q}} \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{n} \supset \mathfrak{q}$. Consequently $N = Q$ and the G-orbit of $\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}$ is the compact manifold $Z = G/Q$. Since $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}/\widehat{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{q}$, the $\widehat{G}$-orbit of $\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}$ has dimension at most that of G/Q. But on the other hand $\widehat{G} \supset G$ and therefore the $\widehat{G}$-orbit has the same dimension as the G-orbit. Consequently $G.x_0$ is open in $\widehat{G}.x_0$ and the compactness of $G.x_0$ implies that these orbits agree.

Applying the Finiteness Theorem, the following is now immediate.

Corollary 4.2 *Let G_0 be a simple real form of a complex semisimple Lie group G and D be a cycle connected G_0-flag domain in a G-flag manifold $Z = G/Q$. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields on D. Then the restriction mapping*

$R : \mathfrak{aut}(Z) \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is an isomorphism and the action of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ can be integrated to the action of a connected complex Lie group $\widehat{G}$ which is thereby identified with $\mathrm{Aut}(Z)^0$.

As a consequence we have the description of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ which was proved by other means in [H1].

Corollary 4.3 *If D is a G_0-flag domain $Z = G/Q$, then one of the following holds:*

1. *If D is holomorphically convex, it is a product of a compact flag manifold and Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type and* $\mathrm{Aut}(D)^0$ *can be described as in* §1.
2. *If D is not holomorphically convex or equivalently it is cycle connected, then* $\mathrm{Aut}(D)^0$ *is a finite-dimensional Lie group which is acting on Z and agrees with G_0 with the possible exceptions in the situations classified by Onishchik where G is a proper subgroup of $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{Aut}(Z)^0$.*

5 Exceptional cases

To complete our project of understanding the automorphism groups of flag domains, we must analyze the exceptional cases indicated in the above Corollary. We do this here, proving the following result.

Theorem 5.1 *Suppose that $D \subset Z = G/Q$ is a G_0-flag domain which is not holomorphically convex and that G is properly contained in complex Lie group $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{Aut}(Z)^0$. Then there is a uniquely determined real form $\widehat{G}_0 = \mathrm{Aut}(D)^0$ of $\widehat{G}$ which properly contains G_0 and which stabilizes D.*

Our proof of this fact amounts to a concrete discussion for each of the three classes of exceptions in Onishchik's list which was given in §1. Below we show that these cases not only occur but also occur at the level of real forms. This is the content of (3) in Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 5.1 above.

5.1 Projective space

Here we consider the case where $Z = \mathbb{P}(V)$ is the projective space of an even-dimensional complex vector space $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Define the complex bilinear form b by $b(z, w) = z^t w$. In the standard basis $(e_1, \ldots, e_{2n})$ define $J : V \to V$ by $J(e_i) = e_{n+1}$, $i \leq n$, and $J(e_i) = -e_{i-n}$, $i > n$. Note J is b-orthogonal with $J^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$ and define a (complex, bilinear) symplectic form by $\omega(z, w) = z^t J w$. Define $V_+ := \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ and $V_- = \mathrm{Span}\{e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{2n}\}$ and correspondingly $E := +\mathrm{Id} \oplus -\mathrm{Id}$. If $C : V \to V$ denotes the standard complex conjugation given by $z \mapsto \bar{z}$, define a non-degenerate (mixed-signature) Hermitian structure on V by $h(z, w) = z^t E C(w)$. Finally, if the antilinear map $\varphi : V \to V$ is defined by

$z \mapsto -JECw$, it follows that $h(z,w) = \omega(z,\varphi(w))$ and, since $\varphi^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$, that φ is an h-isometry. Observe that if P is a φ-invariant subspace of V, then $P^{\perp_h} = P^{\perp_\omega}$. In particular, P is symplectic if and only if it is h-nondegenerate and in either of these cases $V = P \oplus P_h^\perp$ is a decomposition of V into h-nondegenerate, symplectic subspaces.

The complex symplectic group $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by ω has two types of real forms. The first case to be considered is where $\widehat{G}_0$ is the real form $\mathrm{SU}(n,n)$ of $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ which is defined as the group of h-isometries. In this case the real form $G_0 = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ of $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ is defined as the intersection $\widehat{G}_0 \cap \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$. Considering the orbits of these groups on $\mathbb{P}(V)$ we let D_+ (resp. D_-) be the open sets in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ of h-positive (reps. h-negative) lines.

Proposition 5.2 *The open sets D_+ and D_- are both orbits of G_0 and $\widehat{G}_0$.*

Proof. It is clear that D_+ and D_- are G_0- and $\widehat{G}_0$-invariant and that $D_+ \cup D_-$ is dense in Z. Since $G_0 \subset \widehat{G}_0$, it is therefore enough to show that G_0 acts transitively on both sets. The proof for D_+ is exactly the same as for D_- and therefore we only give it for D_+. For this, given positive lines $L = \mathbb{C}.z$ and $\widetilde{L} = \mathbb{C}.\widetilde{z}$, we define $P = \mathrm{Span}\{z, \varphi(z)\}$ and $\widetilde{P} = \mathrm{Span}\{\widetilde{z}, \varphi(\widetilde{z})\}$. These planes are h-nondegenerate and symplectic. We normalize z and $\widetilde{z}$ so that $\|z\|_h^2 = \|\widetilde{z}\|^2 = 1$ and, since $\varphi : E_+ \to E_-$, $\|\varphi(z)\|^2 = \|\varphi(\widetilde{z})\|^2 = -1$. Applying this procedure to $P^\perp$ and $\widetilde{P}^\perp$ we have h- and ω-orthogonal decompositions

$$V = P_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus P_n = \widetilde{P}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \widetilde{P}_n$$

of V. Futhermore, every P_i (resp. $\widetilde{P}_i$) comes equipped with a basis $(z_i, \varphi(z_i))$ (resp. $(\widetilde{z}_i, \varphi(\widetilde{z}_i))$ such that the mapping $T_i : P_i \to \widetilde{P}_i$ defined by $z_i \to \widetilde{z}_i$ and $\varphi(z_i) \mapsto \varphi(\widetilde{z}_i)$ is both symplectic and an h-isometry. It follows that $T = T_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus T_n$ is both a symplectic isomorphism and h-isometry of V, i.e., $T \in G_0$. Since $T(L) = \widetilde{L}$, the proof is complete.

Now let us turn to the real form $G_0 = \mathrm{Sp}(2p,2q)$ of $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$. In this case we line up J and E in a different way. The decomposition $V := V_+ \oplus V_-$ and J are the same, but now h has signature (p,q) on both spaces, being defined by the block diagonal matrix $E_{p,q} = (\mathrm{Id}_p, -\mathrm{Id}_q)$. Then $\widehat{G}_0 = \mathrm{SU}(2p,2q)$ is defined as above by the Hermitian form h and $G_0 = G \cap \widehat{G}_0$. The proof of the following fact is exactly the same as that of Proposition 5.2 above.

Zusatz. Proposition 5.2 also holds for $G_0 = \mathrm{Sp}(2p,2q)$ and $\widehat{G}_0 = \mathrm{SU}(2p,2q)$. □

5.2 5-*dimensional quadric*

Here we consider $V = \mathbb{C}^7$ equipped with the complex bilinear form b defined by $\|z\|_b^2 = (z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2) - (z_4^2 + \ldots + z_7^2)$ and Hermitian form h defined by $\|z\|_h^2 = (|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + |z_3^2|^2) - (|z_3|^2 + \ldots + |z_7|^2)$. Denote by $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{SO}_7(\mathbb{C})$ the associated complex orthogonal group and by $\widehat{G}_0 := \mathrm{SO}(3,4)$ the associated group of Hermitian isometries.

We regard the exceptional complex Lie group $G = G_2$ as being embedded in $\widehat{G}$ as the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$ of the octonians. It has a unique noncompact real form $G_0 = \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathbb{O}})$, the automorphism group of the split octonians $\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}$. In this way G_0 is the intersection $G \cap \widehat{G}_0$ of G with the real from $\widehat{G}_0 = \mathrm{SO}(3,4)$ (see,e.g., [Ha] for details). Note that $\widehat{G}_0$ is invariant by the standard complex conjugation $z \mapsto \bar{z}$.

The remainder of this paragraph is devoted to the proof of the following fact.

Proposition 5.3 *For every $z \in Z$ it follows that $G_0.z = \widehat{G}_0.z$. In particular the open orbits of G_0 and $\widehat{G}_0$ coincide.*

We should note that, as indicated below, the open orbits of $\widehat{G}_0$ are the spaces D_+ and D_- of positive and negative lines, respectively.

For the proof of Proposition 5.3 we use Matsuki duality (see, e.g., Chapter 8 in Part II of [FHW]) which states that there is a $1-1$ correspondence between the G_0-orbits and K-orbits in Z. This can be given as follows: For every G_0-orbit there is a unique K-orbit which intersects it in the unique K_0-orbit of minimal dimension and vice versa, i.e., given a K-orbit there is a unique G_0-orbit which intersects it in the unique K_0-orbit of minimal dimension. Due to our interest in the open G_0-orbits (resp. $\widehat{G}_0$-orbits) in Z, we have stated the above result on that side of the duality. However, we have found it more convenient to prove the corresponding dual statement.

Let us fix the maximal compact subgroup $K_0 \cong (\mathrm{SU}_2 \times \mathrm{SU}_2)/(-\mathrm{Id}, -\mathrm{Id})$ of G_0 being diagonally embedded in the maximal compact subgroup $\widehat{K}_0 = S(\mathrm{O}(3)\times\mathrm{O}(4))$ of $\widehat{G}_0$. If $E_+ := \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and $E_- := \mathrm{Span}\{e_4, \ldots, e_7\}$, Then we define $z_+ := e_1 + ie_2$, $z_- := e_4 + ie_5$ and observe that the base cycles C_+ and C_- for the open orbits of the $\widehat{G}_0$-action are the quadrics of b-isotropic lines in E_+ and E_-, respectively. The corresponding open orbits are the spaces $D_+ = \widehat{G}_0.z_+$ of positive lines in Z and $D_- = \widehat{G}_0.z_-$ of negative lines, respectively. The complement of $D_+ \cup D_-$, which is the space of lines which are both b- and h-isotropic, consists of two $\widehat{G}_0$-orbits, the real points $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ and its complement.

The $\widehat{K}$-orbits which correspond via Matsuki duality to the four $\widehat{G_0}$-orbits are the two base cycles C_+ and C_-, the open $\hat{K}$-orbit of any point on $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ and a forth orbit $\mathcal{O}$ which has two ends, i.e., which has the two base cycles on its boundary. In fact this forth orbit is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-principal bundle over the 2-dimensional cycle C_- (See [FHW], §16.4 for a detailed discussion in the case of the $K3$-period domain which can be transferred verbatim to the case at hand.). To prove the above Proposition 5.3 we show that K acts transitively on each of these four $\widehat{K}$-orbits.

Now the second factor of $\widehat{K}$ acts trivially on C_+ and the first factor acts trivially on C_- and vice versa. Since K is diagonally embedded in $\widehat{K}$ and projects onto both factors, it is immediate that it acts transitively on both C_+ and C_- as well. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundle over C_-, K acts transitively on the base of this bundle and has an open orbit in the bundle space $\mathcal{O}$, it is immediate that it acts transitively on $\mathcal{O}$.

It remains to show that K acts transitively on the open $\hat{K}$-orbit. For this we first note that, since $e_3 + e_4 \in Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the connected component at the identity of $\hat{K}_0$ is the product of the special orthogonal groups of E_+ and E_-, it follows that up to finite group quotients $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the corresponding product $S^2 \times S^3$ of spheres. One immediately observes that G_0 acts transitively on $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$, because every G_0-orbit is at least half-dimensional over $\mathbb{R}$. Thus K_0 acts transitively on $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ and if $z_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an arbitrary point of $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$, it follows that $K.z_{\mathbb{R}}$ is open in $\hat{K}.z_{\mathbb{R}}$.

To complete the proof of Proposition 5.3 we must show that $K.z_{\mathbb{R}} = \widehat{K}.z_{\mathbb{R}}$. For this we let $\widehat{K}_1$ be the first factor of the product decomposition of the connected component of $\widehat{K}$ and consider the homogeneous fibration

$$\widehat{K}.z_{\mathbb{R}} = \widehat{K}/\widehat{L} \to \widehat{K}/\widehat{K}_1\widehat{L} = \widehat{K}_2/\widehat{L}_2 = B\,,$$

Since $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ is essentially a product $S^2 \times S^3$ corresponding to the decomposition of the connected component $\widehat{K}_0$, it follows that up to finite group quotients the base B is the complexification of S^3, i.e., the affine quadric $Q_{(3)} = \mathrm{SO}_4(\mathbb{C})/\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{C})$. Since K projects surjectively onto both factors of $\widehat{K}$, it is immediate that K acts transitively on $B = K/M$. Now the induced fibration of $K.z_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a homogeneous bundle $K/L \to K/M$ where the fiber M/L is an open M-orbit in the corresponding fiber $\widehat{F}$ of the $\widehat{K}$-bundle $\widehat{K}/\widehat{L} \to \widehat{K}/\widehat{M}$. But $\widehat{M}$ acts on this fiber as $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{C})$ so that $\hat{F}$ is the affine quadric $Q_{(2)}$. Since K/M is affine, M is reductive. But the only reductive subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{C})$ with an open orbit in $Q_{(2)}$ is $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{C})$ itself. Consequently K does indeed act transitively on the open $\widehat{K}$-orbit and the proof of Proposition 5.3 is complete. □

5.3 Space of isotropic n-planes in $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$

Now let $\widehat{V} = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be equipped with its standard basis $(e_1, \ldots, e_{2n})$ and complex bilinear form defined by $b(z, w) = z^t w$. The complex orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ of b-isometries is denoted by $\widehat{G}$. We let $G := \mathrm{Fix}_{\widehat{G}}(e_{2n})$. In this way $G \cong \mathrm{SO}_{2n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ is the orthogonal group of the the restriction of b to $V := \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, \ldots, e_{2n-1}\}$. We consider the action of these groups on the flag manifold Z of n-dimensional b-isotropic subspaces of $\hat{V}$.

Proposition 5.4 *The groups G and $\widehat{G}$ act transitively on Z.*

Proof. Note that the intersection $W := \widehat{W} \cap V$ of an isotropic n-plane in $\widehat{V}$ is an isotropic $(n-1)$-plane in V. It follows that $\widehat{W} = W \oplus \mathbb{C}.(v + ie_{2n})$ for some $v \in V$. Applying an appropriate element of G, we may assume that $v = e_{2n-1}$ and it then follows that $W \subset \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, \ldots, e_{2n-2}\}$. We then apply the induction assumption to obtain a transformation in the corresponding $\mathrm{SO}_{2n-2}(\mathbb{C})$ to bring W to the normal form with basis $(e_1 + ie_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{n-1} + ie_{2n-2})$ so that altogether we have found a transformation in G which brings W to the normal form with the basis $(e_1 + ie_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{2n-1} + ie_{2n})$.

Recall that up to conjugation the only real forms of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ are the isometry groups $G_0 = \mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ for the mixed signature Hermitian form defined by $h(z, w) = z^t EC(w)$ on V where $E = E_{p,q}$ is defined in the same way as in §5.1. Without loss of generality we may choose h to be this form and note that an appropriately chosen arbitrarily small perturbation of an isotropic n-plane $\widehat{W}$ will result in the intersection $W = \widehat{W} \cap V$ being h-nondegenerate. Thus, if $G_0.z =: D$ is an open orbit in Z, the $(n-1)$-plane W associated to z is h-nondegenerate.

Note that if p is even, then q is odd and vice versa. To make the notation more explicit, we assume that p is even. Now the space of h-positive b-isotropic lines in V is an open G_0-orbit. Thus, given W as above, we may apply an element $g \in G_0$ so that after replacing W by $g(W)$ we have $L = \mathbb{C}(e_1 + ie_2) \subset W$. Notice that subspace of V of vectors which are both h- and b-orthogonal to L is simply $\mathrm{Span}\{e_3, \ldots, e_{2n-1}\}$. Thus, after going to this smaller space, we have the same situation as before. Hence we may continue on by induction to obtain a maximal h-positive subspace W_+ of W which is $\frac{p}{2}$-dimensional and which has a distinguished basis produced by our procedure. Applying the same argument as above to the h-complement $W_+^\perp$ in W, one obtains an element $g \in G_0$ so that $W_0 := g(W)$ has the distinguished basis

$$(e_1 + ie_2, e_3 + ie_4, \ldots, e_{p-1} + ie_p, e_{p+1} + ie_{p+2}, \ldots e_{2n-3} + ie_{2n-2}) .$$

Proposition 5.5 *If $\widehat{W}$ is a b-isotropic n-plane in $\widehat{V}$, then there exists an element $g \in G_0$ with $g(\widehat{W}) = W_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}(e_{2n-1} + ie_{2n}) =: \widehat{W}_0$.*

Proof. Let $g \in G_0$ be chosen as above with $g(W) = W_0$. It is then immediate that $g(\widehat{W}) = W_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}\widehat{w}$ where $\widehat{w} = \pm e_{2n-1} + ie_{2n}$. We obtain the positive sign by, e.g., multiplying e_1 and e_2 by i, e_{2n-1} by -1 and e_j by $+1$ otherwise. Since this transformation is also in G_0, the desired result follows.

Theorem 5.6 *The Hermitian form h can be naturally extended to a non-degnerate Hermitian form $\widehat{h}$ on $\widehat{V}$ with signature $(p, q+1)$ (resp. $(p+1, q)$) if p is even (resp.odd) so that the unique open orbit D of the resulting real form $\widehat{G}_0$ is the set of isotropic n-planes of signature $(\frac{p}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2})$ (resp.$(\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{q}{2})$). Furthermore, the h-isometry group G_0 in $\mathrm{SO}_{2n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ also acts transitively on D which is also its unique open orbit in Z.*

Proof. It is enough to consider the case we where p is even and $\|e_{2n-1}\|_h^2 = -1$. Extending h to $\widehat{h}$ on $\widehat{V}$ with e_{2n} being orthogonal to V and $\|e_{2n}\|^2 = -1$, it follows that $\widehat{h}$ is of signature $(p, q+1)$. Let $\widehat{G}_0 = \mathrm{SO}(p, q+1)$ be the real form of $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{SO}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by $\widehat{h}$. Arguing as above we see that the unique open $\widehat{G}_0$-orbit D in Z is the set of isotropic n-planes $\widehat{W}$ with signature $(\frac{p}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2})$. A reformulation of Proposition 5.5 is that G_0 also acts transitively on D.

The following is a less technical formulation of this fact.

Corollary 5.7 *If Z is the complex flag manifold of isotropic n-planes in $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ where both $\widehat{G}$ and G act transitively, every real form G_0 of G has a unique open orbit D which is the unique open orbit of a canonically determined real form $\widehat{G}_0 = \mathrm{Aut}(D)^0$ of $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{Aut}(Z)^0$.*

Acknowledgements

The research for this project was supported by SFB/TR 12 and SPP 1388 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

References

[A] A. Andreotti: Théorèmes de dépendance algébrique sur les espaces complexes pseudo-concaves, Bull. Soc. Math. France **91** (1963) 1-38

[B] J. Brun: Sur la simplification par les variétés homogènes, Math. Ann. 230, (1977) 175-182

[FHW] G. Fels, A. Huckleberry, and J. A. Wolf: Cycles Spaces of Flag Domains: A Complex Geometric Viewpoint, Progress in Mathematics, Volume 245, Springer/Birkhäuser Boston, 2005

[GRT] P.Griffiths, C. Robles and D. Toledo: Quotients of non-classical flag domains are not algebraic (arXiv1303.0252)

[Ha] R. Harvey: Spinors and Calibrations, Academic Press (1990)

[H1] A. Huckleberry: Hyperbolicity of cycle spaces and automorphism groups of flag domains, American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 136, Nr. 2 (2013) 291-310 (arXiv:1003:5974)

[H2] A. Huckleberry: Remarks on homogeneous manifolds satisfying Levi-conditions, Bollettino U.M.I. (9) **III** (2010) 1-23 (arXiv:1003:5971)

[K] J. Kollar: Neighborhoods of subvarieties in homogeneous spaces (arXiv1308.5603)

[O1] A. Onishchik: Transitive compact transformation groups, Math. Sb. (N.S.) 60 (1963) 447-485 English Trans: AMS Trans. (2) 55 (1966) 5-58

[O2] Arkadii L'vovich Onishchik (on his 70th birthday), Russian Math. Surveys 58.6 1245-1253

[W] J. A. Wolf: The action of a real semisimple Lie group on a complex manifold, I: Orbit structure and holomorphic arc components, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 1121–1237.